Previous references

File No. 164 of 02

1903

Serial Nos. 165

(Sep- Revr.)

Later references.

S.R. 178-15½ Ur. B. June 1916

S.R. 55-16½ Ur. B. Oct. 16.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Receipt ~~Part~~ B. for Documents.

SUBJECT.

Inam Case Claim to the Taddy Revenue of Madavanallore Sivaramapalle of Kristna Rajahole Jaggayapeta District

1 From the Excise Commr. No. 6892—260 dated 11. 11. 03. Submits the records of enquiry relative to the claim of the Inamdars to the Toddy Revenue levied in villages—

2. To the Superintendent of Inam Settlement, No. 672 dated 22nd May 03 requesting a copy of the Inam Register of enquiry in the above said case.

3. Received the Superintendent of Inam Settlement's No. 84 dated 6th June 03 forwards copy of the above as requested.

4. To the Excise Commissioner & Commissioner No. 19—20 dated 15th July 03 returns the Claim of the Inamdars of the villages referred to in the Toddy Revenue

G. R. S. 58

5. To the Excise Commissioner in Mysore No. 469 dated 21st Decr. 03 requesting to settle the amount relative to the submission of the Revenue collected from the above.

SR 16402

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

(Full Office Note.)

Subject. Yeare cowle letter no 4592/260 of 7th Feby 03

Maudans' claim to the toddy peeeme of Madavanakodi Sawamangam village, Krishnarajpet Taluk Mysore Distt.

Note. The survey contains only a toddy shop but no stone. The Sanad (copy in the file) alienates "Selual Peeme" Title deed not produced. An extract of the Inam settlement record is produced. In this extract ~~it is briefly remarked that~~ toddy is said to be one of the items of peeeme enjoyed by the inamdars & from there on in the last column it is remarked "vide Asli Case for orders on all other points" As the record relating to the Asli in case or any extract

1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rule which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

1. No. and date of latest letter on paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

WB

of it. Contg. the portion
added - the todda pressure
is not proved, the decision
of the Lower Appellate is not
possible to be formed on the
proof of long enjoyment
& much else to proved
by the old Contractors
(one from yrs 70 to 75, another
79-81 and another 84-87).
The investigation officer
(Mr P. of Greenwards Rao)
satisfied that the claim
is sufficiently proved & states
that rule 12 applies - Mr
quite forgets the pay under
T.T. System. He does not say
what rules prevails between the pay
under "Need Fame System"
& "Preliminary T.T. System".
The Govt Counsel also
satisfied that the Claim
is established & states that
rules 2, 3, 5, 6 & 12 apply to
this Case - Perhaps he has
statistics with him for this.
The amount awarded
is just as usual, stated

in the Calendar -

Richard

3

Ms. 1124 to the same

effect from a copy

of the same Roll of Inquiry in

the case of 21/5/10

Mehl-Lucknow

Telegram
24/5/04

Note Continued

Copies of Deeds, settlement

proceedings received from the

Superintendent.

In the case of the Atel

village (Bandehale), it

is recorded, that "under

the Deeds Rule no item

whatever enjoyed can be

alienated. Alienation, Sandal

& Teak trees reserved." This

reservation of alienation

presence therefore, is

applicable only to the Arlimella

Jamadelale Veerne
Veerabhadrappa Jeevanne from
Toraldy Veere leaving written
please not entered with
and Cannot therefore
effectively the
Pradhanmukhi village
in question Veerne Toraldy
is one of the items of
property enjoyed by the
Deccandars. Moreover
in the Huzur Settlement
Official Patti of 1838 Toraldy
is said to have been in-
cluded in the Patty of the
Pradhanmukhi village.
The claim must therefore
be held to have been
established

Sd/- illegible Asstt. ~~Collr~~

I agree that this claim has
been made out - Asstt -
Collector - 7-7-03

The Dewan
may see
Commr. V.
11298/7.7.03

E.267

8884 1903

FROM P. T. Srinivasa Iyer B.A
Special Commissioner
in Mysore.

S.R. 166202 87.1

To K. V. Rangaswamy Iyengar Esqr
Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore
Revenue & General
Department

Dated 7th
Despatched 11 } February
Received

General No. 4592
No. 260
Enclosure No. 1 file

Submits with his opinion record of enquiry relating to Doddy Revenue of Inadavanakodi Inam Village, Krishnarajpet Taluk.

Genl
11.2.03

Note Secretary

Treasy
19.5.03

Excise Commissioner's Office
in Mysore

f/2) 4592 / 260 Excise 2 — Bangalore 7th February 1903.

From

L. V. Purnaiya Esqre B.A.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

H. V. Sanjeevaraya Esqre M.A., M.L.
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General and Revenue
Departments.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Inadavanakodi Irsam Village, Krishnarajpett Taluk, I have the honour to state that I concur in the investigating Officer's opinion that the Irsandar is entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. There is only a Toddy shop in the village. Accordingly the Revenue is payable to him subject to Rules 2, 3, 5, 6 & 12 in Government Proceedings No 2074-83 / R.F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901.

I have the honour to be.
Sir
Your most obedient
Servant.

For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Ex 538 of 8/6/03

1903

OFFICE OF THE
13140.
8 - JUN. 1903
DEPUTY COMMR. MYSORE.

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDENT,
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 4th
Despatched 6th } May June.
Received

No. 84 of 1902—03.

Enclosure No. 1

His docket No 623 - 47201 of the 22nd May 1903.

Has requested in the above, has the honor to forward herewith a copy the inam register of the Sarvamangam Madavankodi village, Krishnarajpet Taluk, and the Asli Case relating thereto.

Sup^t of Inam Settls.

Encl
8-6-03

MGCPB—9-4-01—250.

No 625 1
Exam 1207
Dy. 22/5/03

To The Superintendent
of Quasi Settlements
Negapatam

Has the honor
to request that he
will be so good as to
submit copies of the
Quasi Register of Enquiry
in the Case of the Santa-
ramanyan Madanama-
kodi Village, Kodikka-
pattu Taluk and
in the Asli Case pending
thereto

Yours
Obdt
for Secy

Issued
22/5/03

FRENCH-ROCKS
SUB-DIVISION
ABKARY COLLECTORS

27

Taylor Davis

1
RUPEE
ONE

5486

28-6-76


INDIA POSTAGE
FOUR ANNAS
INDIA POSTAGE
ONE ANNA
BANGALORE
26 NO

10-45

No 1065

27-11

24-11

10

MYSORE REG. 27 NOV.

28-11

24-11-01

29-11

MYSORE

4/31.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Extract from the Inam Register of the Arkebal Hobli - Attikuppa Taluk. Hunsamanaya Village

Case No.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Extent.	Assessment.	Jodi.	Names and residence of Inamdar sharers and co-sharers as recorded in Colm.	Particulars	Final Order.
1	Personal.	—	2308-12-9	—	Bangalore 1. Narasimha Apr 12 Mysore 2. Seetaram 6 } 21/ 3. Venketesa 15 } Mysore 4. Venkata 1 30 } 4/ 5. Venkatesa 6 } 9/ Mysore 6. Suranam 1 4 0 3/4 7. Narsimia 3/4 8. Linganna 3/4		1. Confirmed free. — 2. Vide Arkl case for orders on all other points. Signed Robt Cole 24-6-80. Supt of Inam Settlt. "Copy" Compd & Bangalore dated 23 Sept/97. } Supt of Inam Settlt. Words 107 Fee 0-3-8

Note.

C. Revenue enjoyed
1. Land Revenue
2. Mohaturfa
3. Toddy—

(15th Cob. Cont.)

Mysore
1 Parvathyamah 35 } 1.
2. Ranjundashetti 10 }

Mysor
10. Venkatasu 40 1
Mysor
11. Ramanna 50 1
Mysor
12. Subashar 1/2
Shogere
13. Suranara 1/2
Arkulg
14. Prasanna
Revaro
Rayap 1
15. Krishnamma
3 } 1/2
Nagamma 3 1/2
16. Srinivasacha
17. Shamacha 1.
Mysore 16
18. Ramacha 1.
19 Vasudeva
20. Salapam 1
Arakkate
55

LIBRARY
GOVERNMENT
MYSORE

ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು

Reference to Rev. Commissioner's
Road Commn. for enquiry &
report
Mahadevpura
9.12.01.

S. Officer
Ranur Roads

No/S 865-869
5-12-01

Forwarded to the Sub. Division
Officer, Ranur Rocks for favour of
enquiry and report.

Dy. 4.12.01

2-12-9

Nilgovinda Rao Vrittidar.

23-11-1901

No 47 — 9/2

Forwarded to the Lab. Dn Officer French-Rocks for favor of enquiry and report.

23 5 8 1 6

5-12-01

K Ramaswamy Iyengar
Asst Commr
Bangalore Div

5/12/01

Referred to Mr. Sreenivasa Row Asstt. Commr. for enquiry and report.

by 9th December.

K. Venkatarao
Lab Dn Officer
French Rocks

పిటిషన్

[illegible] పిటిషన్ [illegible]

నంబర్ | తేదీలు | ఆదేశాలు

4 | 1 | [illegible]

2 | [illegible]

(1) [illegible]

(2) [illegible]

(3) [illegible]

(4) [illegible]

(5)

3

4

5

Sheristadar
Sale Department
Bench Roll

22/1/02

Mysore Government,
General & Revenue Secretariat.

Office Draft. (Docket.)

PR. 165 Z 02

A. S

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Date of passing the draft
Date of despatch 4/12/03

Regd. No. 469
File No. & date (if any) E 7/201.

No. of Encls.

Govt Paryo. No. 19-20. dy 15.7.03
of 7.12.01

Mandas allowing the claim to the toddy excise revenue of the Madavan Kodi Sarvamangya village.

~~With reference to the~~
above Has the honor to invite attention to para 2 of the G.O referred to above and to request that a refund bill for such amount due of Madavana Kodi, Krishnarajapet Taluk to the Mandavs may be submitted at an early date together with the report called for

By order

9/12

Secretary.

1

[illegible]

[illegible] Teerthankar

[illegible]
10/1/02.

Decision

The claim is for Excise
Duty — Extract from Chart
shows that this is one
of the items rejected by the
Chandra — The Learned
Counsel a definite answer
to the query from Bench,
and this forms part of the
final turnover calculated — The
case falls under Rule 12 -
There will be an award
accordingly in favour of the
Chandra — Excise Duty
Learned — Rule 10/1/02.

Collector of Canara to Jodikars &c
Nos. 1 to 3 Canara Inwards Records No. 33

Anicut agent

Name of Taluk	Name of Hobli, Jamma or Illagani	Name of Jurisdiction Jodi or Kayangutta village	Name of Inamdar Jodidar or Kayangutta-dar	
	Akshebal Hobli	Jurvamaniya Madarana Kodi.	Inamdars:- Yeclaraniah and others	1. Original Jamma 2. Extract from the Inam Register of the village. 3. Inchalika executed by Captain R.H. Taylor agreeing to pay on account of the Sodder Revenue of this village rupees 69-2-9 a year for a period of 5 years from April 1875 to end of March 1880, and another to pay rupees 31-11-7 a year for a period 2 years from April 1879 to end of March 1881. 4. Inchalika executed by Timpon Gowda Karriah and Doddamallanna agreeing to pay on account

Received 15th April 1879
Canara No. 33

...ence enquired into by P. Finucane Esquire
... Sub Division Tipperary Resident Magistrate

Grounds of decision	Amount awarded if any	Decision
Evicted from Farm holding about that Exercise Revenue to one & the Yearly rent & duly paid by the Applicant the Valuation of which is £9 and the Evictions from Sept. 1880 to the present date.	Applicant to be reinstated on the same holding	Yes

Vincent Wall
Assistant Commissioner
Irish Land

Read

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Excise, dated 15th July 1903.

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 84, dated 4th June 1903, from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, regarding the Inamdars' claim to the toddy revenue of the Madavanakodi Sarvamanyam village, Krishnarajpete Taluk, Mysore District.

No. 19-20—EX. F. 12-01, DATED BANGALORE, 15TH JULY 1903.

ORDER THEREON.—The village in question contains only a toddy shop but no grove. The Inamdars' claim to its revenue is supported by the sannad as well as long enjoyment, and the Inam Settlement is not unfavorable to the Inamdars. The claim is therefore allowed, subject to Rules 2, 3, 5, 6 and 12 contained in Government Order No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901.

The amount payable to the Inamdars for past years, the amount advanced, and that still due should be ascertained and reported.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

Exd.—C. V.

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

EXCISE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 15th July 1903.

No. 19-20 / Ex. F. 12-01

Passing orders on the claim of the Inamdars of the Madavanakodi Sarvamanyam village, Krishnarajpete Taluk, Mysore District, to the toddy revenue of that village.

SBP—22-7-03—50

SR 1664/02 - 8 1/4

Read:-

Correspondence ending with below no. 84 of 4th June 1903 from the Supdt. of Census Settlements in Mysore regarding the incendiary claim to the totally reverse of the Madanankoti Samanangudi village, ~~in the~~ Krishnarajpet Taluk, Mysore District

Order thereon:-

No 19-20
247/12-01
dt 15-7-03.

The village in question contains only a todagu hut no grove. The

Addl C
7/7/03

0285
Addl
13.VII.03

Secy
16/7/03

inadequacy of claim
& its presence is
substantiated by the
demand as well
as long enjoyment.
~~[illegible] demand~~
~~recognition~~ and the
mere settlement
is not unfavorable
to the inmates.
The claim is therefore
allowed, subject
to rules 2, 3, 5, 6 & 12
of Contained in
Govt. No. 2074-83
Rt. 166-92
17/8/01.
The account payable
to the inmates
for last year, the
amount advanced
& that still due
should be ascertained

Avuded to Commemorate the Event by the formation of the Devanlea Agrahar, so called after him self. Such Agrahars are so founded with the view of Commemorating the name of the Founder, and the Brahmins bound to praise him the Agrahar, and pray for the prosperity of the Founder, and for his, or her welfare in a future world. As no houses were ever built, and were now exist, the Object of the Grant has not been, and is not fulfilled; and Consequently all the Villages of this Grant, are resumable under the Inam Rules.

11. The Grant was however made in 1826. and the lands have been so enjoyed for upwards of 50 Years on a rent free tenure, and I would propose that the Villages should be Confirmed on half quit-rent.

12. A copy of this Register to be submitted, thro' the Inam Commissioner, for the orders of the Chief Commissioner.

(Signed) Robt Cole
Asst to Inam Rebts

Rebtors
17 Nov 74

13. Vide Chief Commissioners Proceedings No 5189-90 of 7 January 1880 and my orders thereon -

14. With reference to para 3 of the above Proceedings ask the Assistant Commissioners in charge of the Palace Establishments whether the Palace Records can show why the houses had not been built and whether any

any "chicanery" had existed -

/ Initialled / Robb Gale.

30/1/80 Asst to Dewan Peshkar

15. Read Petition No 1013 dated 13th January 1880 from Seetha Ramiah party No 24 in Col: 15 protesting against the registry of the Vritty in the name of the Mortgagee Roodra Rao - The Petitioner examined, and the Vritty released from attachment, de-initio - Vide Paras 1, 2, & 3 in Col: 20 of this Register - The name of the Petitioner has already been registered by the Assistant -

(Signed) B. Rajagopaulah

13-5-80

16. Reply to the Petitioner

/ Initialled / Robb Gale

31-5-80. Asst to Dewan Peshkar

17. With reference to para 14 of the orders the Assistant in charge of the Palace writes to follow in his letter No-51 of the 22nd May 1880 -

"I have the honor to state that on enquiry "it appears that the Maharajah while granting "the lands as Inam promised to build the houses "to constitute Dewanika Agraharam - The province "having been assumed by the British Govern- "ment not long after the promise has re- "mained unfulfilled. No information however "has been forthcoming in the Palace records on "this subject"

"I may here allude to the somewhat "analogous case of Gowramba Agraharam in "the Chamarajnagar Taluk all the Vrittis "of which except two have been resumed by the "Inam Department, because the houses of

the

"the Agrahar being unthanked, and in ruins, the "original intentions of the Grant are not fulfilled, and respected". The Agrahar was Granted in 1830 - About 1841 the tank breached, and that at Bandawadi was restored in its stead. The line of the road was also changed, and the Chattel at Honnavar was transferred to Bandawadi. The Agrahar thus ceased to be a Rope habitation and the houses being unthanked fell into ruins. It has always been intended in the Palace that the houses should be rebuilt at Mysore or elsewhere just as those of Krishnarajendra-pura Agrahar in the Nanjangud Taluk were transferred to Mysore, and the Lands for whose spiritual benefit the Inams were Granted was anxious in her last moments the other day that this intention should speedily be carried out. The delay has arisen from the difficulty in procuring a proper site for the houses - It is hoped that this will be soon got over, and the houses rebuilt. So that I have to request that, as this is the case nothing might be done by which the restoration of the Inams may become impossible in the future".

18. This Grant is confirmed free liable to Local Fund and Irrigation Cesses, on the Condition that the Agrahar should be maintained" in the event of the present Rajah establishing one in fulfillment of the original Grant, and in Commemoration of the person at whose instance the Grant was made", as laid down in para 3 of the Chief Commrs

Proceedings

Proceedings No 5189-90 of 7th January 1880.
Rev: 885

19. The claim of Sayer is conceded.

20. Under the Inam Rules no claim not now enjoyed can be allowed. Abkari, Sandal and Teak are reserved.

21. A detailed Survey is desired.

22. Send Copy of para 18 in reply to Assistant in charge of Palace duties.

Bangalore 24th June 80 } (Signed) Robt Cole
Asst to Maine Settlt

23. Revised as per the Government Proceedings No 11,223-5 & No 7.100-93 of 17th Feby 1894.

24. Vide the detailed Survey Statement Record with the Survey Supdts Yadi No 12 of 14-1-98.

	A.	G.	
Total area & assessmt.	802	30-1450	5-0
Deduct for 5 Minor Inams	6	15 — 49	8-4
Balance	796	15 — 1400	13-0

Particulars

Unculturable	297	13	4 —
Dry Cultivated	338	2	326 —
Culturable (waste)	15	13	2 — 12 — 0 Silent
Wet Cultivated	119	8	— 831 — 12 — 0
Culturable do	0	7	— 0 — 5 — 0 do
Garden Cultivated	26	12	— 236 — —
Total	796	15	— 1400 — 13 — 4

25. Finally Confirmed free of tax.

(Signed) W. D. Grant
Asst to Maine Settlt

73-1-99

Maine Settlt Office
the 14th June 1903

Copy
W. D. Grant
Asst to Maine Settlt

Compd - BB

Owing to the non attendance of the Party No 24 in Col: 15, who is the Son of the Mortgagor to whom notice No 130 of 21st October 1878 was issued. It was served upon him on the 5th November 1878. By this, it will be observed, that notice had been issued to the Son of the Mortgagor, the Petitioner agent Vankeresiah had appeared in this Office on the 1st October 1878 with a Mokhtiarnamah from him. It appears that he was informed in this Office that the heirs of the Mortgagor should appear, and not either the Mortgagee or his agent. Vide orders on the Petition No 114 of 29th Oct: 1878 addressed to this Office by the Petitioner. I am of humble Opinion that the Mortgagee may be allowed to get the Vritti settled as Mortgagor will have no Sympathy for persons from whom they borrowed money in cases like this - The Dewan Superintendent has also been pleased to rule in a similar case that the Statement may be filed by the Mortgagee Vide his No 163 of 13th July 77. It is not known when the Mortgage transaction took place. The petitioner says 30 years ago, but the Chanboque has given deposition which is attached to the Vernacular Records of this Case, that the Vritti in question was mortgaged to the Petitioner 22 years ago, I would have under these Circumstances allowed the Mortgagee to get the Vritti settled on behalf of the Mortgagor according to the above ruling had my predecessor

Mr

Nos 1 to 60 inclusive Rod Vale
Coast Malabar Rod Vale refused to permit
the Vaasi Mahomedan Settlement. Servants
sheriff for the Collector to attend. Servants
in the Collector's office for the orders of the
Deputy Collector.
2nd Assistant.

(Signed) C. Vyasa Rau
30 June 1879. Offg Assistant

The name of No 60 in Col: 14 is omitted in
the H.S. Kyfeat Patty of 1838, but it is taken
from the Jamaad, and entered in that
Col: Comm. He is No 30 according to the
Jamaad.

(Signed) C. Vyasa Rau
Offg: Assistt

Note.

A. Independent Inamo.
5 Devadaya

B. Inams Enjoyed.
1. Land Revenue
2. Mohatarfa
3. Maravadi
4. Cash.

C. Statistics
1. Village
1 Chavadi
5 Temples
1 Tope
62 Tiled houses
10 Huts
1 Oil Mill
201 Men
195 Boys
204 Women
122 Girls

D. Boundaries to be defined by the Survey Department

(Signed) C Vyasa Rau
Offg Assistant

3/7/79

(Col: 20)

17

1. With reference to paras 12, 13 & 14, issue the usual 3 months notice, under Clause 2 of Precis No 16, to the heirs of the Mortgagor.

2. The Agent, Shanmanah, has produced a deed of mortgage of 1856 of the Vritti, in which a prior deed of mortgage of 1848 is mentioned, or over 30 years ago. Both deeds are initialed and returned.

3. The Vritti to be released from ab-initio.

(Initialed) Robt Cole
Supdt of Mainzettes
6-11-79.

4. Release drawn & orders sent. —

5. I have perused the Maha Rajah's Sanad of the 14th July 1826, and the Deed of Gift of the same date to one of the Vrittidars Grammani Siri Bhat. Vide also my Remarks in Col: 20 of the Register No 13 of the Kuramam Taluk of this Grant.

6. Two questions arise for the Settlement of the 13 Villages, Comprising this Grant, viz^t—

1. Have the Powers of alienation been Conveyed or not.
2. What were the Conditions of the Grant, and was the Agraharam ever Constituted.

7. With regard to the 1st question, the Powers of alienation, are fully Conveyed in the Deed of Gift made by the Maha Rajah's mother, and on the top of the Deeds of Gift, separately made by her to each Vrittidar, the Maha Rajah has written in his own hand-writing the words "Siri Chammundi Sahaya", thereby recognizing the

the Deeds of Gift in full. He has also alluded to all these Deeds of Gift in his Rammad for the whole Grant, but in this Rammad no pointed Allusion as Conveyed whether this was purposely done or not, we cannot now say. The Rammad must be our guide for Settlement, and this question must be submitted for the Hon'ble Commissioners orders.

With reference to the 2nd question, the Arab-Rajahs Rammad contains the words.

"Agraharavum mada bekendu"

which shows that the Agrahar had not been constructed at the time, and then it goes on to show that the houses had been built at or near the village of Kundakolla on the Hemavati river. The Deeds of Gift also alluded to the Agrahar as having been built, whilst in para 3 of Col: 19 it is stated that the Amildar has reported, in his No. 327 of the 14th June 1873, that the houses were never built from the beginning. This must be sent for from the Central Office

Soloor
13th Nov: 1879

(Signed) Robt. Cole
Asst. Grain Collr.

Q. The Amil in his Abj. No. 327 of the 14th June last, forwards the statement of the Agent, who appeared on behalf of the Agrahardars, in which it is stated that the Agrahar was never constructed and that the Vuttidars reside in Mysore, Nanjangud, Sulagrama, Nanjipur, Bangalore &c. The Amil also reports that no houses of the Agrahar were ever built.

10. The Grant was made on the occasion of the late Arab Rajahs mother going thro' the ceremony of being weighed against gold, on which occasion it was evidently

intended

(Column 19) of Bandahole village.

Addl Case - A.

11

Berij 37 v
3658-2-7

	Name of the Village	Description of Land	Extent K. C. P.	Berij R. a. p.	whether Jain or not	Jodi	Proportionate Land-Rent	Case No.	Register Sheet No.
A.	Bandahole	"	"	"	1495	4	4			1	14
B.	Jennahalli	"	"	"	331	3	10			1	27
C.	Madavanakodi	"	"	"	2305	4	11			1	10
D.	Yachamanahalli	"	"	"	1666	9	11			1	49
E.	Yachanahalli	"	"	"	1371	5	7			1	11
F.	Sedagarahalli	"	"	"	301	8	5			1	48
G.	Mallahalli	"	"	"	431	4	4			1	12
H.	Kuvanahalli	"	"	"	870	11	1			1	13
I.	Alumbodi	"	"	"	505	"	4			1	28
J.	Basavanahalli	"	"	"	134	11	1			1	8
K.	Mabali	"	"	"	227	12	6			1	60
L.	Doddahalli	"	"	"	136	11	1			1	25
M.	Machanahalu	"	"	"	364	11	4			1	26
	Total	"	"	"	10142	2	9			1	

1 As directed by the Inam Superintendent in the Register of the Kuvanahalli Village, Sheet No. 13 of the Attibuppa Talak to record a succinct account of the origin of the Devanda agrahar, of the Locality, and Value of each Vritti &c. I beg to state as follows:-

2. This agrahar was granted by H.H. the late Maha Rajah, at the request of his mother Devaji Ammanni, on the occasion of her going thro' the ceremony of weighing herself

heresy against gold. The Sannad of the Mahá Rajah is dated 14th July 1826. She informed His Highness that she had a desire to weigh herself against gold which should be given to Brahmins, and that after which she should build an agrahar and grant land to Brahmins. The Maha Rajah accordingly granted 13 villages specified in the above schedule for the support of the Agrahar which was directed by him to be built near the Hill of Nirmalgiri in the Bandahole Village, situated on the Kumaradhe River in the Nanjinpur Taluk, and to be called Devambá agrahar, after the name of his Mother Devaji Ammanni. This Agrahar contains 60 Vrithies, and the value of each Vritti is 36 Pagodas. The Mother of His Highness executed Deeds of Gift to each Vrittidar. One of them made to Giri Bhatta is produced, in which the Vrittidars have been invested with full powers of alienation. It bears the same date as the Maha Rajah's Sannad viz 14th July 1826, and does not bear his signature, but on the back of it he has written in his own hand writing the words "Sri Chamundi Sahaya", or the help of Goddess which is on the hill in the town of Mysore. An allusion is made in the Maha Rajah's Sannad to the Deeds of Gift made by his Mother. The Sannad does not convey Powers of alienation. It is said in the Deeds of Gift, that it

was

grant made by the desire of her son the Zamindar Rajah - The Vuttidars claim powers of alienation vide Petition No 282 of 14 October 1878 to the address of the Inam Supt. Vide 13
This question is to be submitted for the orders of the Chief Commr vide the Inam Supt's order in the Register of the Gunnamahalli Village Sheet No 13 -

3. The Sanad and the deed of gift to Gurikatti clearly show that houses for the Vuttidars in the Agrahar were built at the time the 13 villages forming the Devanda agrahar were granted. On a reference to the Amildar of the Attikuppa Taluk, it appears from his report No 327 of the 14th instant, that the houses were never built from the beginning and that the Vuttidars reside in different places.

4. With regard to the items of Rayar, and Akkasi, I submit that the Vuttidars are entitled to them, although no allusion is distinctly made about them in the Sanad - It is therein said that all the sources from which money, grain &c are derived should be left to the Vuttidars possession. The Beriz of Rayar is moreover included in that of each village in the Sanad. All Forests except Sandalwood are also Conceded in it.

5. This village is borne out by the accounts in Column 14.

6. Column 4 is left blank as the accounts shewing the area, are not forthcoming

The

7. The Village is subject to all Local Fund and Irrigation Cesses.
8. A detailed survey is desired.
9. The boundary adopted is as per account of 1831.
10. A copy of the Chief Commissioner's notification No. 292 has been given to the agent.
11. The majority of the Vrittidars desire the Title Deed to be given to Gramini Giri Bhatt.
12. One Subba Rao of Bangalore addressed an order to the Local Superintendent bearing No. 1290/26 dated 1779, which was received in this Office with No. 28 of the 19th Instant for disposal on the Register.
13. The Petitioner states that the Vritti granted against Sanad No. 24 in Col. 15 was mortgaged to him by his father 30 years ago, but it is now attached without issuing notices to the sons of the mortgagor, and that when he deputed one Venkataraiah with a Mokhtiarnamah he was not allowed by this Office to file the Statement for the Petitioner, who now requests that it may be released from attachment after allowing his agent to appear in this Office on his behalf, as the sons of the mortgagor do not feel the necessity of getting the Vritti settled as the Mortgage Amount is more than the worth of the Inam.
14. The Vritti is now under attachment

owing

(Col: 20 Continued)

3. Revised as per the Government Proceedings No 14223-5 P.T. 10093 dated 17th February 1894.

4. Vide the detailed Survey Statement received with the Survey Superintendent's Yadi No 12 dt 14-1-98. A. G. Road

Total area & Assessment 1097-39-2777-14-0

Particulars.

Uncultivable	402·37	6 — —
Dry Cultivated	324·29	305 — —
Cultivable (waste)	16·23	3-6-0 ½ Acres
Wet Cultivated	343·33	2378-8-0
Garden Cultivated	9·37	·85 — —
	1097·39	2777-14-0

Jodi Free

Full assessment on resumed Minor Inams — Case No 2 } 4 — 8 — 0

4-8-0

5. Finally Confirmed accordingly.

(Signed) W. Grant

dt 29-11-98. Supt of Inam Settlt.

(Col: 19 Contd)

has been issued to the Proprietors.

(Signed) D. Narasimha Row
Assistant

10/4/79.

(Col: 20)

1. Confirmed free

2. Vide Asli case for orders on all other points.

(Signed) Robt Cole
Asst Collr Main Settlt

24-6-80.

Notes

A. Undisputed inam
Devadaya

B. Unless grant alienations need not be noticed

C. Revenue Imposed
1 Land Revenue
2 Inshtanfa
3 Taddy

D. Matters
Village - 1
River - 1
Hale - 1
well - 1
Kotes - 4
Pandal 1
Topes 2
Temples 4
Dilapidations 126
Huts 40
Houses - 276
Boys 160
Women - 330
Girls - 130

E. Boundaries to be defined by the Survey Department

21

(Signed) D. Narasimha Row
Assistant
10/4/16.

10.

11. TH OF POSSESSION.

12 Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner of the Superintendent of the Division on the matter regarding validity or excess of the Inam, and the Inamdar's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.
19	20

8

1. Dakali Case C in as dali Case A No 1 of Survamanyam Bundaloke in Regd Sheet No 14.
2. This Village is entered in the Survamanium account of 1831/38.
3. The Cymaishy account is not available in the Taluk Record, hence Col: 4 is left blank
4. This village is subject to all Local & irrigation cesses.
5. Local Cess levied is Rs: 72-2-5.
6. Irrigation Cess is Rs: 36-4-7
7. Sayer and Abkari are reserved to State. Forest if any is governed by the Chief Commissioners Notification.
8. The Vritidars elect to have a detailed Enquiry
9. The being adopted is as per account of 1831.
10. On other points see remarks in as dali Case.
11. To be confirmed free of tax
12. A Copy of notification No 292 has

15.

17.

Mysore
Ramaswamy 48
V.1.

alienee

2 Sons
2 Grand Sons.

Father of the incumbent purchased two Vritti on the 3rd Karthik Sudda of the year Virodhakrit from Immadi Krishnamaraja Wodeyar and Venkatachariar the latter to the Son and the former two in-laws of Kodagina Venkataramanachetty No 24 alluded to in the account of 1838 for Rs: 1500 (Rajah) Stamped deed produced. Deed of Gift given by HH the late Maha Rajahs Mother to Kodagina Venkataramanashetty also produced.

Vasudevasastri
V.1.

alienee

2 Mothers

Grandfather of the present incumbent purchased from one Venkataramaiah for Rajah Rs 1000/ on the 13th Pushya bahula of the year Jaya. The above said Venkatramaiah had purchased from Venkatachelloyisa, Thimmappayis and Venkataramayis Sons of Ramappayis Vritti 57 alluded to in the account of 1858 for Rajah Rs 1000/ on the 28th Septr 34.

Both stamped deeds produced also Deed of Gift granted to Ramappayis by HH the late Maha Rajahs Mother produced —

Salagrama Sedatore Vth
Vakkate
Subbarao 55
V.1.

alienee

4 Sons.

No 20 in Col 15 purchased Vritti for Rs: 850 on the 25th March 73 from one Srinivasamurti Row son of Siddayyatta Venkat Row who had purchased the same for Rs: 1065 on the 2nd July 60 from one Immadi Appaji Bhamana Setti, who had obtained by public Auction in Satisfaction of the Decree No 16 of 1857 of the late Huzur Adalut Court against Samtramaiah widow of Ramappah No 56 alluded to in the account of 1838.

Stamped deeds of sales &c are produced —

Deed of Gift not produced —

The party in Col 20 states that whereabouts of purchaser Srinivasamurti Row not known he has been informed, that if the Inam Superintendent confirms the powers of alienation referred to in the Deed of Gift his name will stand for good.

Initialed / Dharwar Asst Collr
Assistant
21/10/78

Mysore
21 Ramaswamy Amaldar 35
V.1
22 Ranjundasastry

Sons widow of the party for 2 alluded to in the account of 1838.
adopted son of the above.

Mother in-law.

Nil

Deed of Gift produced.
The said Vritti has been mortgaged to one Srinivasaraghavachariar for Rs: 850 on the 20th August 74 from the Land and of Sri 20 in Col: 15 —
Stamped Receipt deed produced.

Vayawe Vaitachelliah Vo - V. 1.	Son of the party No 55 alluded to in the account of 1838. —	1 wife	Deed of Gift Granted by M. H. the Vavah a Kay mathy produced —
Vayawe Vavah adoio 50 V. 1.	Son of the last-No 23 Do	3 Sons	Do —— Do
Vayawe Vaitachelliah 80. V. ½		1 Son 1 Garden	Grand father of the party No 13 in Col: 15 by name Samtalamootishastry and Sub-ashastry together purchased this Vritti for Pag: 315 from the widow of the party No 58 in the account of 1838. Stamped deed of 15th of Jeshta Sudda of the Year Shaivain produced. also deed of Gift produced.
Vayawe agraharam Vavah aravah ashastry 14 V. ½	alienae	Nil.	
Vayawe Vaitachelliah Vavah aravah ashastry 80. V. ½			
Vayawe agraharam Vavah aravah ashastry 14 V. ½	alienae

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.

Particulars regarding present owner. If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, Name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year.
15	16	17	18
Bangalore 1 Narasimhajah 12 Vritti 1.	alienee	Nil	Oosur Narsiah grandfather of the present incumbent purchased this Vritti for Rs 1250 on the 13th day of Paushd Suddah of the year Sadharana (1813) from Nessiah son of Timmappiah the Donee No 19 alluded to in the account of 1838 — Stamped deed produced also deed of Gift granted by H. H. the Maha Rajahs Mother produced —
Seringapatam 1 Nilakantaramiah 26 2 Venkatasubbiah 13. V. 1	brother's grandson of the party No 59 alluded to in the account of 1838 —	— 1 daughter — — Nil —	Venkatakrishnaiah brother of the party No 59 alluded to in the account of 1838 and grandfather of the present incumbent purchased this Vritti from Venkatanarasiah the Son of the original grantee for Rs 1000 on the 8th December 1862 — Stamped deed produced also deed of Gift produced —
Seringapatam 1 Venkatramiah 30 2 Venkatasubbiatta 69 V. 1.	Brother's son of the party No 30 alluded to in the Copy of the Canara Report with the Mysore account — Same party do do	Nil — Nil —	Deed of Gift produced — N.B. In the account of 1838 this partiss name erroneously omitted, but the total number of Vrittis corresponds —
Seringapatam 1 Narasimmanajah 46 V. 3/4 2 Narasimhajah 24 V. 3/4 3 Singramiah 20 " 3/4 4 Narasimhajah 35 " 3/4 Total V. 3	brother's son of the party No 32 in the account of 1838. do — do adopted son of do brothers sons widow	2 Sons undivided brother brothers widow Nil Nil	Deed of Gift produced — One Vritti is inherited to the parties belonging to No 33 alluded to in the account of 1838. Deed of Gift not produced — enjoyment undisputed —
			Summanajah the brother of the party No 32 alluded to in the account of 1838 purchased the Vritti No 37 alluded to in the account of 1832, from one Ramangar and another with the exception of the portion said to have been given by gift to one Nanjunda Somayaji mentioned in the above deed of sale — Stamped deed for Rs 133-4-8½ of Aswija Suddha of the year Dhurmiki (1836) produced.

of Hassan

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

4

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14

Vattadar of Uttamadaa agraharam

H. S. other account of 1831.
Inadavaru akodi
asali village Kate
Kadakm Beriz 471 1-8
Jaati 322 5 —
793-6-8
Deduct
Jari inam 1-2 —
Balance 792-4-8
Particulars
Revenue for the year
Fasliana
Village Revenue 509-7-4
Cooly — 41 — 5 —
Sugar manufactory 15-5-8
Kusbee Mania — 6 —
Tali do — 2 —
Batta do 1-2 —
Barber — 2 —
Shoe — 5 —
Shesa — 2 —
Goldsmith — 8 —
Bulgie — 3 —
570-7-12
Revenue to be realized hereafter — 221-6-12
792-4-8
H. S. Kyfiat putty of 1838 Beriz 793-6-8
Deduct Jari inam
Rameswaradevar gudde 1-2 —
Balance 792-4-8
Particulars
Village Revenue 488-2-8
Inchatuppa — 13-8 —
Sidagaral — 3-4-12
Toddy — 4 — —
Jaut-tree Jack — 2 — —
Miscellaneous 282-7-4
570-7-12
Revenue to be realized hereafter — 221-6-12
792-4-8

C

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM.

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sunnad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11
By the Rajahmundry Collector Madan Gopaul of Polavaram in 1820 —	See Keyfi of asli Case.

Sapmat of Akkalkot **in the Taluk of** Akkalkot

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, *i. e.*, jodi, &c., amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9
		For personal benefit.	Assessment on the Inam in Case No 2 R. a. p. 3 7 — 10 Free.

Deduct rent for cultivated portion of 1831 2365-4-11
342-4-8
2023-12-3
-3-7-10
Add for same in arrears 1-2-10
793-6-8

Copy of the **Register of Inams in the Village of** Madavoor akode **in the**

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden.	Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals.
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Personal			

Encl
4-3

Register Sheet No. 10.

Taluk —— Attikuppa.

Hobli —— Akkabal.

Village —— Madavanakodi.
Savvanaya.